For the Northern District of California

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8		
10 11	MARIA VILLATORO and JOSE VICTOR VILLATORO,	No. C 12-03093 WHA
12 13 14 15 16 17	Plaintiffs, v. CITIBANK N.A., AS TRUSTEE FOR AMERICAN HOME MORTGAGE ASSETS TRUST 2006-4, MORTGAGE- BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006-4, and all persons unknown, claiming any legal or equitable right, title, estate, lien or interest in the property described in this complaint adverse to Plaintiff's title thereto, and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive.	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE
19 20	Defendants.	
20	In this action shallowing a formal course so	le defendant CitiDenk N. A. 's metics to

In this action challenging a foreclosure sale, defendant CitiBank N.A.'s motion to dismiss is **GRANTED**.

After a default and an attempt at loan modification, defendant CitiBank held a trustee's sale and took back plaintiffs' home in its own name. The next day, plaintiffs filed for bankruptcy, and their case was later converted to Chapter 7. Defendant filed an unlawful detainer action in state court, and a few months later plaintiffs filed their own state court action to set aside the trustee's sale, which was removed here by defendant based upon 28 U.S.C. 1332 and 1441. Meanwhile, the bankruptcy discharge was obtained and the bankruptcy action was closed. On June 22, 2012, defendant moved to dismiss plaintiffs' complaint for lack of standing.

This order holds that plaintiffs lack standing to sue to set aside the trustee's sale. When plaintiffs filed for bankruptcy, all of their claims for relief passed to the Chapter 7 trustee. *See Hamilton v. State Farm Fire & Cas. Co.*, 270 F.3d 778, 784 (9th Cir. 2001) (plaintiff who fails to amend bankruptcy schedules to list known claims against defendant is precluded from pursuing those claims). Plaintiffs did not amend their bankruptcy schedules or disclosure statements during their bankruptcy proceedings to identify the claims asserted in their complaint. When the instant lawsuit was commenced, therefore, plaintiffs lacked standing to pursue their claims.

Defendant requests that judicial notice be taken of the following documents pertaining to this action: (1) a deed of trust recorded on July 28, 2006, in the official records of Contra Costa County; (2) a notice of default and election to sell under deed of trust recorded on June 21, 2011, in the official records of Contra Costa County; (3) an assignment of deed of trust recorded on June 23, 2011, in the official records of Contra Costa County; (4) a notice of trustee's sale recorded on September 19, 2011 in the official records of Contra Costa County; (5) a trustee's deed upon sale recorded on December 20, 2011, in the official records of Contra Costa County; (6) a voluntary petition filed in United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California Case No. 12-40882 on January 30, 2012; (7) first amended schedules B & C filed in United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California Case No. 12-40882 on February 27, 2012; (8) discharge of debtor and final decree filed in United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California Case No. 12-40882 on June 4, 2012.

Defendant's request for judicial notice is **GRANTED**, as the contents of these documents are "not subject to reasonable dispute" in that as public records, they are "capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." FRE 201.

CONCLUSION

Because plaintiffs lack standing to bring their claims, defendant's motion to dismiss is **GRANTED**. Plaintiffs have requested leave to amend so that they may "seek the trustee's abandonment of the claim or obtain the trustee's agreement to proceed forward" with this action (Opp. 8). Plaintiffs will have **21** CALENDAR DAYS from the date of this order to file a motion,

Case 3:12-cv-03093-WHA Document 24 Filed 07/25/12 Page 3 of 3

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

noticed on the normal 35-day track, for le	eave to file an amended complaint securing the trusted tiffs' claims.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Wm Ahre
Dated: July 25, 2012	WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE